

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/426,878	10/26/1999	JUN HORIYAMA	35.C13969	2477	
5514 7	7590 07/09/2004		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRIC	CK CELLA HARPER &	NGUYEN, PHUOC H			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TORK,	10112		2143		
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/426,878 HORIYAMA, JUN **Advisory Action** Examiner Art Unit Phuoc H. Nguyen 2143

THE REPLY FILED on May 28, 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

Examinat	tion (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🔲 T	The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
, e	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ir event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF 706.07(f).	e of the final rejection.
Extensinave been fill 37 CFR 1.17 (b) above, if	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR illed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the fee. The appropriate extension fee under tin the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within th CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismiss	
2. The	e proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🖂	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);
(b) 🗌	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) 🗌	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by n issues for appeal; and/or	naterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🗌	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet	
3. Ap	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in anceling the non-allowable claim(s).	a separate, timely filed amendment
	e a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration has been copplication in condition for allowance because:	onsidered but does NOT place the
	e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLE ised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	LY to issues which were newly
	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered of coloring the provided by the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended the new or amen	
The	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Cl	laim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .	
Cl	laim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .	
CI	laim(s) rejected: <u>24-59</u> .	
Cl	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.	
8. The	e drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved	by the Examiner.
9.□ No	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)
. <u> </u>	ther:	
		4
	BEST AVAILABLE COPY	DAVIDJAKLEY
		UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
Part of Paper No. 20040702

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The scopes claims 24,31-33,40-41,51, and 58-59 have been changed that would require further consideration and/or search

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100